arXiv:1409.0946v1 [math.DS] 3 Sep 2014

EFFECTIVE UNIQUENESS OF PARRY MEASURE AND EXCEPTIONAL SETS IN ERGODIC THEORY.

SHIRALI KADYROV

Abstract. It is known that hyperbolic dynamical systems admit a unique invariant probability measure with maximal entropy. We prove an effective version of this statement and use it to estimate an upper bound for Hausdorff dimension of exceptional sets arising from dynamics.

1. Introduction

Dynamical systems theory is a way to investigate time dependence of orbits in a given space acting under certain fixed rules. In a system with chaotic behaviour it is often difficult to understand the orbit of a given single point and instead it is useful to consider invariant measures. In general, there is an abundance of invariant measures and some of them are very special, such as the Parry measure (measure of maximal entropy). It is an interesting problem to study how the remaining measures relate to this measure. This will be our main goal in this paper.

We first define the symbolic space (Σ_A, σ). For $s \geq 2$ let A be an $s \times s$ square matrix with entries zeros and ones. Set $\Lambda = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ and define

$$\Sigma_A := \left\{ x = (x_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \in \Lambda^{\mathbb{Z}} : A(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 1, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

where $A(i,j)$ is the (i,j)th entry of A. Endowed with product topology Σ_A is a compact space. The shift map $\sigma : \Sigma_A \to \Sigma_A$ is given by $\sigma((x_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}) = (x_{n+1})_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$. The pair (Σ_A, σ) is called a *subshift of finite type*. We similarly define the one sided analog as follows: let $\Sigma_A^+ = \{x \in \Lambda^{\mathbb{N}} : A(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 1, \forall n \in N\}$ and by abuse of notation we define the one sided shift $\sigma : \Sigma_A^+ \to \Sigma_A^+$ by $\sigma((x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}) = (x_{n+1})_{n=0}^{\infty}$. We now introduce a metric on these spaces. For a given $\theta > 1$ define a metric d_θ on Σ_A by

$$d_\theta(x,y) = \theta^{-t(x,y)} \text{ where } t(x,y) = \max\{n \geq 0 : x_i = y_i, |i| < n\}.$$

We also define a metric on Σ_A^+ by

$$d_\theta(x,y) = \theta^{-t(x,y)} \text{ where } t(x,y) = \max\{n \geq 0 : x_i = y_i, 0 \leq i < n\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 37A35, 37C45, Secondary: 28D20.
Key words and phrases. Maximal entropy, symbolic dynamics, Hausdorff dimension.

The matrix A is said to be *irreducible* if for each pair (i,j) there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $A^n(i,j) > 0$. We say that A is *aperiodic* if $A(i,i) = 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. Throughout the paper we assume that A is both irreducible and aperiodic. By abuse of notation we let m be the Parry measure on both Σ_A and Σ_A^+. For a more precise definition of Parry measure see § 2. This is the unique measure of maximal entropy [Wal65, Theorem 8.10]. Our first goal in this paper is to prove the following effective version of the uniqueness statement. To this end we introduce a norm $|\cdot|_\theta$ as follows: For a continuous function g on Σ_A^+ and any $n \geq 0$ we define $\mathrm{var}_n g = \sup\{|g(x) - g(y)| : x_i = y_i, 0 \leq i < n\}$. For any Lipschitz function g on Σ_A^+ we define the seminorm $|g|_\theta$ by

$$|g|_\theta = \sup\left\{\frac{\mathrm{var}_n g}{\theta^n} : n \geq 0\right\}.$$

Note, that $|g|_\theta$ is the least Lipschitz constant. We similarly define the norm $|\cdot|_\theta$ on Σ_A where in the definition of $\mathrm{var}_n g$ we use $|i| < n$ instead of $0 \leq i < n$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that A is irreducible and aperiodic. Then, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any σ-invariant probability measure μ on Σ_A^+ and any Lipschitz function f we have*

$$\left|\int f d\mu - \int f dm\right| \leq c|f|_\theta (h_m(\sigma) - h_\mu(\sigma))^{1/2}.$$

Moreover, the same result holds for the two sided subshift of finite type (Σ_A, σ).

We will give the proof of one sided case and briefly refer how to deduce the two sided counterpart. As a consequence we obtain the analogous result for hyperbolic maps. Before we state the next theorem we would like to introduce the kind of hyperbolic maps we consider.

We consider two kinds of hyperbolic maps: the expanding maps (repellers) and Axiom A diffeomorphisms.

Let M be a compact, connected, smooth Riemannian manifold and $T : M \to M$ a C^1-map. Let J be a compact invariant set so that $T^{-1}J = J$. We say that the pair (J, T) is *a repeller* if $T : J \to J$ is *expanding*, that is, after smooth modification of the Riemannian metric there exists a constant $\theta_0 > 1$ such that for any $x \in J$ and v in the tangent space $T_x M$, the derivatives satisfy

$$\|D_x T^k(v)\| \geq \theta_0^k \|v\| \text{ for any } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and if J is *maximal*, that is, there exists an open neighborhood V of J such that

$$J = \{x \in V : T^n(x) \in V \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}.$$

For a map $T : M \to M$ we define the set $\Omega = \Omega(T)$ of all *non-wandering* points, that is, $x \in \Omega$ if for any neighborhood U of x we have

$$U \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} T^{-k}U \neq \emptyset.$$

Clearly, Ω is a closed invariant set containing all the periodic points. We say that the set Ω is *hyperbolic* if there exists a constant $\theta > 1$ such that for each $x \in \Omega$ the tangent space T_xM can be decomposed as a direct sum of two T-invariant subspaces $W^s(x)$ and $W^u(x)$ so that (after a smooth change of the Riemannian metric, see [HP70]) we have

$$\|D_xT^{-k}(v)\| \leq \theta_0^{-k}\|v\| \text{ for any } v \in W^u(x) \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N},$$
$$\|D_xT^{k}(v)\| \leq \theta_0^{-k}\|v\| \text{ for any } v \in W^s(x) \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A diffeomorphism $T : M \to M$ is called *Axiom A diffeomorphism* if $\Omega(T)$ is hyperbolic and $\Omega(T) = \overline{\{x : x \text{ is periodic}\}}$. Examples of Axiom A diffeomorphisms include the Anosov diffeomorphisms when M itself is assumed to be hyperbolic [Ano67]. For various examples of Axiom A diffeomorphisms we refer to [Sma67].

Theorem 1.2. *Let (J, T) be a mixing repeller or $(\Omega(T), T)$ be a mixing Axiom A diffeomorphism. Then, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any T-invariant probability measure μ and any Lipschitz function f with Lipschitz constant L we have*

$$\left| \int f d\mu - \int f dm \right| \leq cL(h_m(T) - h_\mu(T))^{1/2},$$

where m is the unique measure of maximal entropy.

From semicontinuity of entropy it follows that if a sequence of invariant probability measures (μ_n) satisfies $h_{\mu_n}(T) \to h_m(T)$ as $n \to \infty$ then (μ_n) converges to m in the weak* topology. Theorem 1.2 makes this statement effective by comparing the integrals of Lipschitz functions. We also note that although we focused on the measure of maximal entropy the ides should work for alternative measures.

The results similar to Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 were first realised by F. Polo in [Pol11]. Theorem 1.1 can be regarded as a generalisation and improvement of some of his results. In fact, he obtains a weaker exponent $1/3$ instead of $1/2$. We do not know if the exponent $1/2$ is sharp. However, if one considers equilibrium measures μ for certain Lipschitz functions f such that $\mu \neq m$ then clearly $\int f d\mu - \int f dm \geq h_m(\sigma) - h_\mu(\sigma)$ which suggests that the exponent should not be greater than 1. We also refer to R. Rühr [Rüh13] where a similar theorem was obtained for a diagonal action on $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. Our method uses some ideas from the proofs of the related theorems on tori due to Polo [Pol11] and improves some of the estimates. To prove [Pol11, Theorem 4.1.1], Polo makes use of the existence

of fine partitions with thin boundary subordinate to the stable subgroup. Such partitions were extensively studied by Einsiedler and Lindenstrauss in homogeneous dynamics context, see e.g. [EL10]. In our situation, however, we make use of the existence of Markov partitions instead. This way we obtain Theqorem 1.2 as a result of Theorem 1.1 considering the symbolic representation of the system by a subshift of finite type.

We now state an application of our main result to estimating Hausdorff dimension of certain exceptional sets.

For a repeller (J, T) and for any $x_0 \in J$ and $\delta > 0$ let

$$E(x_0, \delta) := \{x \in J : T^n x \notin B(x_0, \delta), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where $B(x_0, \delta) = \{x \in J : d(x, x_0) < \delta\}$. For an Axiom A diffeomorphism $(\Sigma(T), T)$ we similarly define the sets $E(x_0, \delta) \subset \Sigma(T)$ for $x_0 \in \Sigma(T)$. Estimation of Hausdorff dimension of these exceptional sets have been studied by many. Using the perturbation theory, asymptotically exact formulas for the Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H E(x_0, \delta)$ of exceptional sets were computed by Ferguson and Pollicott [FP12] in the case of *conformal* repellers, see also [KL09]. We also refer to a similar result [Hen92] in the context of continued fractions. On the other hand, for general expanding maps there are several works related to estimating a lower bound for $\dim_H E(x_0, \delta)$ to show that the set of nondense orbits has full Hausdorff dimension, see e.g. [Urb91, AN06, Tse09]. In [Urb91], Urbanski also considers the lower bound estimates in the settings of Anosov diffeomorphisms and Anosov flows. In the setting of general expanding maps they consider, Abercrombie and Nair [AN06] point out the difficulty of estimating the upper bound for $\dim_H E(x_0, \delta)$ in terms of δ.

As an application of our main result we estimate an upper bound for the Hausdorff dimension of $E(x_0, \delta)$ for both situations we consider in this article, namely, transitive repellers (not necessarily conformal) and transitive Axiom A diffeomorphisms. As in Theorem 1.2 we let m be the measure in (J, T) or $(\Omega(T), T)$ with maximal entropy.

Theorem 1.3. *Let (J, T) be a mixing repeller or $(\Omega(T), T)$ be a mixing Axiom A diffeomorphism. Then, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for any $x_0 \in J$ and $\delta \geq 0$ we have*

$$\dim_H E(x_0, \delta) \leq \dim M - c\delta^2 m(B(x_0, \delta))^2.$$

We will make no claim on the sharpness of the exponent 2. In the next section we introduce the Parry measure and state the Pinsker inequality. In § 3 we first introduce a sequence of functions (f_n) using a transfer operator. Then, using the Pinsker inequality and properties of f_n's we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is obtained in § 4 using the Markov partitions and finally the upper estimate for the Hausdorff dimension of the exceptional sets were obtained in the last section.

Acknowledgement. The author acknowledges the partial supported by EPSRC. He is grateful to Manfred Einsiedler for referring to [Pol11]. He also would like to thank René Rühr and anonymous referee for useful comments for the preliminary version of the article.

2. Parry measure and Pinsker inequality

In this section define the Parry measure m on Σ_A, [Par64]. Let $\lambda > 1$ be the largest eigenvalue of A. Since A is irreducible, using Perron-Frobenius theory cf. [Wal65, § 0.9], we may pick strictly positive left and right eigenvectors $(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{s-1})$ and $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{s-1})$ respectively with $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} u_i v_i = 1$. We set $p_i = u_i v_i$ and $p_{ij} = a_{ij} v_j / \lambda v_i$. Then the Markov measure m given by the probability vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{s-1})$ and the stochastic matrix (p_{ij}) is called Parry measure.

Let $\xi = \{C_i : i \in \Lambda\}$ be the standard partition for Σ_A^+ where $C_i = \{x \in \Sigma_A^+ : x_0 = i\}$. We say that a finite or an infinite word $(i_0, i_1, \dots)$ is *admissible* if for any n, $A(i_n, i_{n+1}) = 1$. For any admissible $(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k)$ we define the $(k+1)$-cylinder set

$$C(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_k) := \{x \in \Sigma_A^+ : x_0 = i_0, \dots, x_k = i_k\} = \bigcap_{n=0}^{k} \sigma^{-n} C_{i_n}.$$

We recall that the Parry measure satisfies for any cylinder set

$$m(C(i_\ell, i_1, \dots, i_{\ell+k})) = p_{i_\ell} p_{i_\ell i_{\ell+1}} p_{i_{\ell+1} i_{\ell+2}} \cdots p_{i_{\ell+k-1} i_{\ell+k}}.$$

This gives

$$m(C(i_\ell, \dots, i_{\ell+k})) = u_{i_\ell} v_{i_\ell} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{a_{i_{\ell+j} i_{\ell+j+1}} v_{i_{\ell+j+1}}}{\lambda v_{i_{\ell+j}}} = \frac{u_{i_\ell} v_{i_{\ell+k}}}{\lambda^k}. \tag{2.1}$$

By setting $a = \min_{i,j} u_i v_j$ and $b = \max_{i,j} u_i v_j$ we obtain

$$a\lambda^{-k} \le m(C(i_\ell, i_1, \dots, i_{\ell+k})) \le b\lambda^{-k}. \tag{2.2}$$

For any partition ζ of Σ_A^+, let $[x]_\zeta := \bigcap_{x \in B \in \zeta} B$ denote the atom of ζ containing x and m_x^ζ denote the conditional measure with respect to ζ supported on $[x]_\zeta$. We let $\mathcal{A} = \bigvee_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{-i} \xi$ and consider the information function

$$\iota(x) := I_m(\mathcal{A} | \sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A})(x) = -\log m_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}([x]_{\mathcal{A}}).$$

For more information on conditional measures we refer to [EW11, § 5]. In general, conditional measures are defined a.e. Therefore, in general $\iota(x)$ is a measurable function defined only m-a.e. However, in our situation we also would like to integrate the function ι w.r.t other measures. So, we want to have an everywhere defined measurable function ι which requires us to define

the conditional measures for all $x \in \Sigma_A^+$ as we do now. For any $x \in \Sigma_A^+$, using (2.1), we have

$$m_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}([x]_{\mathcal{A}}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{m([x]_{\bigvee_{i=0}^n \sigma^{-i}\xi})}{m([x]_{\bigvee_{i=1}^n \sigma^{-i}\xi})} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{m(C(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n))}{m(C(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n))}$$
$$= \frac{u_{x_0} v_{x_n}/\lambda^n}{u_{x_1} v_{x_n}/\lambda^{n-1}} = \frac{u_{x_0}}{\lambda u_{x_1}}.$$

Thus, we have an *everywhere* defined function

$$\iota(x) = \log\lambda + g(\sigma x) - g(x)$$

where $g(y) = \log u_{y_0}$. Hence, we conclude

Lemma 2.1. *For any invariant probability measure μ on Σ_A^+, we have*

$$\int \iota d\mu = h_m(\sigma) = \log\lambda.$$

Let Δ_n be the n-dimensional simplex of probability vectors $q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n)$ satisfying $q_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1$. For a fixed vector $p \in \Delta_n$ with strictly positive entries we define the function

$$\phi : \Delta_n \to \mathbb{R} \text{ by } \phi(q) = -\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \log\frac{p_i}{q_i},$$

with the convention $0 \log\frac{p_i}{0} = 0$. Fix the norm $\|q\| = \sum_i |q_i|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We have

Lemma 2.2 (Pinsker Inequality)**.** *ϕ is nonnegative and has a unique 0 at p. Moreover, for any $q \in \Delta_n$ we have*

$$\|q - p\| \leq \sqrt{2\phi(q)}.$$

For the proof we refer to [CT91, Lemma 12.6.1].

Let $p, q \in \Delta_s$ be given by $p_i = p_i(x) = m_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i)$ and $q_i = q_i(x) = \mu_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i)$. Then,

$$\int (I_m(\mathcal{A}|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A})(y) - I_\mu(\mathcal{A}|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A})(y)) d\mu_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(y)$$
$$= -\sum_{i=1}^s \mu_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i) \log\frac{m_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i)}{\mu_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i)} = \phi(q(x)) =: \phi_x(q(x)).$$

We recall that the function ϕ is defined for strictly positive p. In the present situation, we may have $p_i = m_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i) = 0$ for some i. However, in this situation we also have $q_i = 0$. So, we may safely drop the i-th term in the definition of ϕ.

The fact that $\int\int I_\mu(\mathcal{A}|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}) d\mu_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}} d\mu(x) = h_\mu(\sigma)$ together with Lemma 2.1 give the following.

Lemma 2.3. *For any invariant probability measure μ on Σ_A^+, we have*

$$\int \phi_x(q(x))d\mu(x) = h_m(\sigma) - h_\mu(\sigma).$$

3. A transfer operator and a sequence of Lipschitz functions

In this section we define the sequence $(f_n)_{n\geq 0}$ of Lipschitz functions using the transfer operator and obtain its useful properties.

We define the *transfer operator* $\mathcal{L} : L^1(\Sigma_A^+, \mathcal{A}, m) \to L^1(\Sigma_A^+, \mathcal{A}, m)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}f = \frac{dm_f \circ \sigma^{-1}}{dm} \text{ where } dm_f = f dm.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(\mathcal{L}f) \circ \sigma = E_m(f|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}). \tag{3.1}$$

Define the sequence of functions f_n by

$$f_n := \mathcal{L}^n f = \mathcal{L} f_{n-1}.$$

We would like to estimate the supremum norms of f_n when $\int f dm = 0$. We record (without proof) the following classical result which follows from Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem (see e.g. [Bow75, Lemma 1.10] and [PP90, Theorem 2.2]).

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that for any Lipschitz function g on Σ_A^+ with $\int g dm = 0$ we have*

$$|\mathcal{L}^n g|_\infty \leq C\rho^n |g|_\theta, \text{ for any } n \geq 0.$$

We note that Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem gives the estimate $\|\mathcal{L}^n g\|_\theta \leq C\rho^n \|g\|_\theta$ where $\|g\|_\theta = |g|_\infty + |g|_\theta$. However, since $\int g dm = 0$ we can in fact deduce $|g|_\infty = |g - \int g dm|_\infty \leq \sup_x \int |g(x) - g(y)| dm(y) \leq |g|_\theta$ so that $\|g\|_\theta \leq 2|g|_\theta$.

Next, we would like to estimate $|\int f_{n+1}\, d\mu - \int f_n\, d\mu|$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any probability invariant measure μ on Σ_A^+ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\left| \int f_{n+1}\, d\mu - \int f_n\, d\mu \right| \leq \sqrt{2}|f_n|_\infty (h_m(\sigma) - h_\mu(\sigma))^{1/2}.$$

Proof. From the defining property of conditional measures we have that the conditional expectation for an integrable function g satisfies

$$E_m(g|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A})(x) = \int_{\Sigma_A^+} g(y)\, dm_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(y).$$

For any $j \in \Lambda$ let $S_j = \{i \in \Lambda : A(i,j) = 1\}$. Then,

$$E_\mu(f_n|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A})(x) = \sum_{i \in S_{x_1}} f_n(x^{(i)})\mu_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i),$$
$$E_m(f_n|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A})(x) = \sum_{i \in S_{x_1}} f_n(x^{(i)})m_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i).$$

Combining with Lemma 2.2 we get that

$$\begin{aligned}|E_m(f_n|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A})(x) - E_\mu(f_n|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A})(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in S_{x_1}} |f_n(x^{(i)})||m_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i) - \mu_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i)| \\ &\leq |f_n|_\infty \sum_{i \in \Lambda} |m_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i) - \mu_x^{\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}}(C_i)| \\ &= |f_n|_\infty \|p(x) - q(x)\| \leq |f_n|_\infty \sqrt{2\phi_x(q(x))}.\end{aligned}$$

From (3.1) and σ-invariance of μ we see that $\int f_{n+1}d\mu = \int E_m(f_n|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A})d\mu$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int f_{n+1}d\mu - f_n d\mu\right| &= \left|\int E_m(f_n|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}) - E_\mu(f_n|\sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A})d\mu\right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}|f_n|_\infty \int \sqrt{\phi_x(q(x))}d\mu(x).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we note that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\int \sqrt{\phi_x(q(x))}d\mu(x) \leq \left(\int \phi_x(q(x))d\mu(x)\right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence, together with Lemma 2.3 we arrive at

$$\left|\int f_{n+1}d\mu - f_n d\mu\right| \leq \sqrt{2}|f_n|_\infty (h_m(\sigma) - h_\mu(\sigma))^{1/2}. \quad \square$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1 using the above two lemmas.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It suffices to prove Theorem 1.1 for Lipschitz functions f with $\int f dm = 0$. As before we set $f_n = \mathcal{L}^n f$ for $n \geq 0$. From Lemma 3.1 we see that $\int f_n \, d\mu$ converges to $0 = \int f \, dm$ which gives

$$\left|\int f d\mu - \int f dm\right| = \lim_{n\to\infty}\left|\int f d\mu - \int f_n d\mu\right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\int f_{n+1}d\mu - \int f_n d\mu\right|.$$

Now, using the estimate from Lemma 3.2 together with Lemma 3.1 we conculde

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int f d\mu - \int f dm\right| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{2}|f_n|_\infty (h_m(\sigma) - h_\mu(\sigma))^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{2} C\rho^n |f|_\theta (h_m(\sigma) - h_\mu(\sigma))^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}C}{1-\rho} |f|_\theta (h_m(\sigma) - h_\mu(\sigma))^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem for (Σ_A^+, σ). The proof for the two sided subshift of finite type (Σ_A, σ) follows analogously. In this case, one needs to replace Lemma 3.1 with its two sided counterpart which follows from the current Lemma 3.1 using an approximation argument (ρ gets replaced by possibly larger one). For more details see e.g. proof of Proposition 2.4 in [PP90].

□

4. Markov partitions for hyperbolic maps

In this section we first introduce Markov partitions associated to the hyperbolic maps considered in the introduction. The Markov partitions give us the related symbolic representation of our dynamical system.

It is well known that repellers (J, T) and Axiom A diffeomorphisms (Ω, T) admit Markov partitions, see e.g. [Sin68, Sina68, Bow70, Bow75]. We now recall some basics about Markov partitions associated to these systems.

A repeller (J, T) is conjugated to a one-sided subshift of finite type while the Axiom A diffeomorphism (Ω, T) is conjugated to a two-sided subshift of finite type. First, let us consider the case when we have a repeller (J, T). Let $\mathcal{R} = \{R_1, \ldots, R_s\}$ be a Markov partition for (J, T) where R_i's are closed sets with mutually disjoint interiors. As before we set $\Lambda = \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$. We have

$$m(R_i \backslash R_i^\circ) = 0, i \in \Lambda \text{ and } T(R_i) = \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda_i} R_j,$$

for some suitable subset Λ_i of Λ. By refining the Markov partition, if necessary, we may assume that T is invertible on each partition element R_i. Let A be the $s \times s$ transition matrix associated to $\mathcal{R}$. This means that all entries $A(i, j)$ are in $\{0, 1\}$, and $A(i, j) = 1$ if and only if $T(R_i) \cap R_j^\circ \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $j \in \Lambda_i$. For any admissible $(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ we define the $(k+1)$-cylinder set in J by

$$R(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k) := \bigcap_{j=0}^{k} T^{-j} R_{i_j},$$

which is nonempty. We define a map $\pi : \Sigma_A \to J$ via

$$\pi(x) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} R(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k).$$

This defines a factor map from (Σ_A^+, σ) to (J, T) as $T \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma$.

In the case when (Σ, T) is an Axiom A diffeomorphism, by abuse of notation, we again let $\mathcal{R} = \{R_1, \ldots, R_s\}$ be the associated Markov partition. Again, let A be the associated transition matrix. For any admissible $(i_{-\ell}, \ldots, i_k)$ we define

$$R(i_{-\ell}, \ldots, i_k) := \bigcap_{j=-\ell}^{k} T^j R_{i_j},$$

and consider the map $\pi : \Sigma_A \to \Omega(T)$ via

$$\pi(x) = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{R(x_{-k}, \ldots, x_k)}.$$

As a result, we get a factor map $\pi : \Sigma_A \to \Omega(T)$ so that $T \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma$.

Moreover, the push-forward of the measure m under π gives $\pi_* m = m$ where as before m is the unique measure of maximal entropy in the settings we consider.

We end this section by giving the proof of Theorem 1.2. We need the following classical lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Any T-invariant probability measure μ on J can be lifted to a σ-invariant probability measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on Σ_A^+ so that $\pi_* \tilde{\mu} = \mu$. Similarly, any T-invariant probability measure μ on Σ can be lifted to a σ-invariant probability measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on Σ_A so that $\pi_* \tilde{\mu} = \mu$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We will only prove the theorem for (J, T) as the case of (Σ, T) is analogous. Let f be a Lipschitz function on J with $L(f) = L$ and let μ be any T-invariant probability measure on J. Recall the constant $\theta_0 > 1$ appeared in the definition of repeller. It is easy to see that if we fix $\theta \in (\theta_0^{-1}, 1)$, then the function $f \circ \pi$ on Σ_A^+ is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant L w.r.t. metric d_θ. We use Lemma 4.1 to lift the measure μ to a σ-invariant measure $\tilde{\mu}$ on Σ_A^+. Applying Theorem 1.1 we get

$$\left| \int f \circ \pi d\tilde{\mu} - \int f \circ \pi dm \right| \leq L(h_m(\sigma) - h_\mu(\sigma))^{1/2}.$$

Note that $\log \lambda = h_m(\sigma) = h_m(T)$, $h_{\tilde{\mu}}(\sigma) \geq h_\mu(T)$, and

$$\int_{\Sigma_A} f \circ \pi d\tilde{\mu} = \int_J f d\pi_* \mu = \int_J f d\mu.$$

Similarly, $\int_{\Sigma_A} f \circ \pi dm = \int_J f dm$. Therefore,

$$\left| \int_J f d\mu - \int_J f dm \right| = \left| \int_{\Sigma_A} f \circ \pi d\tilde{\mu} - \int_{\Sigma_A} f \circ \pi dm \right| \leq L(h_m(\sigma) - h_{\tilde{\mu}}(\sigma))^{1/2} \leq L(h_m(T) - h_\mu(T))^{1/2}.$$

□

5. Estimate for the Hausdorff dimension

In this section we use Theorem 1.2 to prove Theorem 1.3. Implicitly, we relate dimension estimates to topological entropy. We refer to a very recent work [FS14] where this relation was made precise for hyperbolic automorphisms of two dimensional torus.

We treat both cases together. Clearly the set $E(x_0, \delta)$ is compact and T-invariant. We first estimate the topological entropy $h(T|_{E(x_0,\delta)})$. From Theorem 1.2 we have that if an invariant measure μ satisfies $\mu(B(x_0, \delta)) = 0$ and if $f \geq 0$ is a Lipschitz function with support in $B(x_0, \delta)$ then

$$h_\mu(T) \leq h_m(T) - \left(\frac{\int f dm}{cL} \right)^2.$$

To optimise the upper bound we need to choose a suitable function f. For example, we may choose a function f which describes the cone with height δL and radius in the base equal to δ. Then, clearly f is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant L and $\int f dm \asymp m(B(x_0, \delta))\delta L$ so that for large values of L

$$\left(\frac{\int f dm}{cL} \right)^2 \gg \delta^2 m(B(x_0, \delta))^2.$$

Thus, from the Variational Principle we conclude that for some constant $c > 0$ independent of $\delta > 0$ and $x_0 \in M$

$$h(T|_{E(x_0,\delta)}) \leq h_m(T) - c\delta^2 m(B(x_0, \delta))^2 = \log \lambda - c\delta^2 m(B(x_0, \delta))^2. \quad (5.1)$$

On the other hand, for any $h > h(T|_{E(x_0,\delta)})$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists N_0 such that for any $k > N_0$, the maximum cardinality of an (k, ϵ)-separated set in $E(x_0, \delta)$ is less than e^{hk}. In particular, if we let

$$\mathcal{R}_k := \{R(i_1, \ldots, i_k) : R(i_1, \ldots, i_k) \cap E(x_0, \delta) \neq \emptyset\}$$

then, $|\mathcal{R}_k| \leq e^{kh}$.

From continuity of T and compactness of X, where X is either J or M, there exists $\Theta \geq \theta_0$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \Theta d(x, y)$. On the other hand, we recall that the Parry measure satisfies

$$a\lambda^{-n} \leq m(R(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_n)) \leq b\lambda^{-n}.$$

It follows that each cylinder set $R(i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ can be covered with at most $a\lambda^{-n}/\Theta^{-n \dim M}$ balls of radius Θ^{-n}, for some possibly modified constant a (independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$). Hence,

Lemma 5.1. *For any $h > h(T|_{E(x_0,\delta)})$ and sufficiently large n, the set $E(x_0, \delta)$ can be covered by $ae^{nh - n\log\lambda + n\dim M \log\Theta}$ balls of radius Θ^{-n}.*

Proof. Continuing the above arguments, we get that the number of covers needed is

$$ae^{nh}\lambda^{-n}/\Theta^{-n\dim M} = ae^{nh - n\log\lambda + n\dim M\log\Theta}.$$

□

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_H E(x_0,\delta) \leq \dim E(x_0,\delta) &\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log(ae^{nh - n\log\lambda + n\dim M\log\Theta})}{-\log\Theta^{-n}} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{nh - n\log\lambda + n\dim M\log\Theta}{n\log\Theta} \\ &= \dim M - \frac{\log\lambda - h}{\log\Theta}.\end{aligned}$$

This is true for any $h > h(T|_{E(x_0,\delta)})$ where $h(T|_{E(x_0,\delta)}) \leq \log\lambda - c\delta^2 m(B(x_0,\delta))^2$. Thus, replacing $c/\log\Theta$ by c, we conclude that

$$\dim_H E(x_0,\delta) \leq \dim M - c\delta^2 m(B(x_0,\delta))^2.$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

References

[AN06] A. G. Abercombie and R. Nair, *An exceptional set in the ergodic theory of hyperbolic maps on manifolds.* Monatsh. Math., **148** 1-17, 2006.

[Ano67] D. Anosov, *Geodesic flows on closed Riemann manifolds with negative curvature.* Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **90** 1967.

[Bow70] R. Bowen, *Markov partitions and Axiom A diffeomorphisms.* Amer. J. Math, **92** (1970), 725-747.

[Bow75] R. Bowen, *Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms.* Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **470**, Springer, New York, 1975.

[CT91] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of information theory.* Wiley Series in Telecommunications. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1991.

[EL10] M. Einsiedler and E. Lindenstruass, *Diagonal actions on locally homogeneous spaces.* Homogeneous flows, moduli spaces and arithmetic. Clay Math. Proc., **10**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.

[EW11] M. Einsiedler and T. Ward, *Ergodic theory with a view towards number theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 259, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011.

[FP12] A. Ferguson and M, Pollicott, *Escape rates for Gibbs measures.* Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (2012), **32**, 961-988.

[FS14] T. Fisher and S. Simmons *Topological properties of invariant sets for Anosov maps with holes.* Dyn. Syst. to appear 2014.

[Hen92] D. Hensley, *Continued fraction Cantor sets, Hausdorff dimension, and functional analysis.* J. Number Theory **40**(3) (1992), 336–358.

[HP70] M. Hirsch and C. Pugh, *Stable manifolds and hyperbolic sets.* Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XIV, Berkeley, Calif., (1968), 133163, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970.

[KL09] G. Keller and C. Liverani, *Rare events, escape rates and quasistationarity: some exact formulae.* J. Stat. Phys. **135**(3) (2009), 519–534.

[Par64] W. Parry, *Intrinsic Markov chains.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1964), 55-66.

[PP90] W. Parry and M. Pollicott, *Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics.* Asterisque , **187-188** (1990) 1–268.

[Pol11] F. Polo, *Equidistribution in Chaotic Dynamical Systems.* Thesis, Ohio State University, 2011. https://etd.ohiolink.edu

[Rüh13] R. Rühr, *Maximal entropy for* $\Gamma\backslash \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Preprint, 2013.

[Sin68] Ya. G. Sinai, *Markov partitions and c-diffeomorphisms.* Functional Analysis and Its Applications, **2** (1968), 61-82.

[Sina68] Ya. G. Sinai, *Construction of Markov partitions.* Functional Analysis and Its Applications, **2** (1968), 245-253.

[Sma67] S. Smale, *Differentiable dynamical systems.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc, **73** (1967), 747-817.

[Tse09] J. Tseng, *Schmidt games and Markov partitions.* Nonlinearity, **22** no. 3, 525-543, 2009.

[Urb91] M. Urbanski, *The Hausdorff dimension of the set of points with nondense orbit under a hyperbolic dynamical system.* Nonlinearity, **4** 385-397, 1991.

[Wal65] P. Walter, *An introduction to ergodic theory.* Springer-Verlag, 1965.

(SK) Department of Mathematics, Nazarbayev University, Astana, Kazakhstan

E-mail address, SK: shirali.kadyrov@nu.edu.kz